## Message Text

PAGE 01 TOKYO 06321 131038Z

43

**ACTION EA-10** 

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-03 H-02

INR-07 L-02 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15

USIA-06 SAJ-01 /068 W

----- 115636

R 130951Z MAY 75 FM AMEMBASSY TOKYO TO SECSTATE WASHDC 0086 INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW AMEMBASSY SEOUL AMEMBASSY TAIPEI AMCONSUL HONG KONG USLO PEKING

CONFIDENTIAL TOKYO 6321

CINCPAC FOR POLAD

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PINT, PFOR, JA, CH, UR

SUBJ: CHINA VISIT OF JSP DELEGATION

SUMMARY. FIRM CHINESE STAND ON HEGEMONY ISSUE REFLECTED IN JOINT JCFA-JSP JOINT COMMUNIQUE HAS OCCASIONED SURPRISE AND DISAPPOINTMENT HERE--AND COMPLICATED NEGOTIATION OF FRIENDSHIP TREATY. END SUMMARY.

- 1. JAPAN SOCIALIST PARTY (JSP) CHAIRMAN TOMOMI NARITA RETURNED TO TOKYO MAY 12 AFTER SIGNING JOINT COMMUNIQUE WITH JAPAN-CHINA FRIENDSHIP ASSOCIATION (CFA) CHAIRMAN LIAO CHENG-CHIH. ATTENTION HERE HAS NATURALY FOCUSSED ON SUPER-POWER HEGEMONY PHRASE OF COMMUNIQUE IN CONTEXT OF GOJ-PRC FRIENDSHIP TREATY NEGOTIATIONS WHICH STALLED OVER SAME ISSUE.
- 2. IN PRESS ACCOUNTS FOREIGN OFFICE SOURCES HAVE POINTED UP UNUSUALLY STIFF CHINESE LINE ON HEGEMONY ISSUE. SINCE CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 TOKYO 06321 131038Z

PRIME MINISTER MIKI HAS OFTEN STATED PUBLICLY HIS DESIRE TO CONCLUDE AND RATIFY FRIENDSHIP TREATY DURING CURRENT DIET SESSION (SCHEDULED INITIALLY TO CONCLUDE MAY 25), FOREIGN OFFICE REPORTEDLY BELIEVES CHINESE POSITION ALMOST

CERTAIN TO INFLUENCE TREATY NEGOTIATIONS. IN RELATED DEVELOPMENT, JAPANESE AMBASSADOR TO PEKING OGAWA IS SCHEDULED TO RETURN HOME MAY 13 FOR DISCUSSION OF JAPAN'S NEXT MOVE.

- 3. AT SAME TIME, FONOFF SOURCES NOTED CHINESE LIMITED THEMSELVES TO "RESPECT" FOR CORRECTNESS OF JSP OPPOSITION TO US-JAPAN MUTUAL SECURITY TREATY. THIS FOREIGN OFFICE TOOK TO MEAN CHINESE STILL SOC NOT OPPOSE TREATY. THEY ALSO FOUND CHINESE POSITION COMPATIBLE WITH REPORTED CHOU EN-LAI JANUARY COMMENT TO SHIGERU HORI THAT US-JAPAN TIES WERE VERY IMPORTANT AND JAPANESE PEOPLE SHOULD REALIZE THIS FACT.
- 4. AS FOR DOMESTIC POLITICAL ASPECTS, LDP REACTION TO COMMUNIQUE THUS FAR HAS BEEN REMARKABLY RESTRAINED, WITH STRONG CHINA SUPPORTERS TENDING TO "UNDERSTAND" NARITA POSITION AND HAWKS MORE CRITICAL. JSP, HOWEVER, IS SPLIT RIGHT DOWN MIDDLE WITH PRO-SOVIET WING SHARPLY CRITICAL AND PRO-CHINA WING SUPPORTIVE OF NARITA. IN HIS OWN DEFENSE NARITA SAYD HIS POSITION WAS NECESSARY TO PRESERVE JSP-CHINA TIES.
- 5. COMMENT. REACTION HERE, EXCEPT FOR INTERNAL JSP MACHINATIONS, APPEARS TO BE MORE SORROW THAN ANGER AT NARITA'S COMPLETE CAPITUALATION ON HEGEMONY ISSUE. PROBABLY THIS IS BECAUSE GOVERNMENT CAN EMPATHIZE WITH NARITA WHO, AFTER ALL, WISHED TO AVOID HEGEMONY ISSUE FOR FEAR OF ANTAGONIZING SOVIETS--PRECISELY REASON GOJ WISHES TO AVOID ISSUE. (THERE MAY ALSO BE REAWAKENED GOJ CONCERN THAT SOMEDAY HEGEMONY PHRASING MAY BE TURNED AGAINST US). THUS FOREIGN OFFICE, LDP AND EVEN NORMALLY CRITICAL MEDIA APPEAR TO BE SURPRISED AND DISAPPOINTED THAT THERE WAS NO CHINESE WILLINGNESS TO COMPROMISE AT ALL.
- 6. DESPITE FONOFF'S HOPES FOR BREAKTHROUGH (TOKYO 5876 NOTAL), IT APPEARS TO US THIS EPISODE LIKELY TO MAKE CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 TOKYO 06321 131038Z

EARLY COMPROMISE ON HEGEMONY ISSUE IN FRIENDSHIP TREATY THAT MUCH MORE DIFFICULT--ALTHOUGH NOT IMPOSSIBLE--IN NEAR FUTURE. GOJ, OF COURSE, WOULD NOT HAVE TO ACCEPT EXTREME WORDING FOISTED ON HAPLESS SOCIALISTS BUT MIKI'S HAVING TO JOINT COMPANY WITH NARITA, AT LEAST BY ASSOCIATION, WILL NOT MAKE TAKS ANY EASIER. WITH OGAWA'S RETURN, WE WILL BE SEEKING FURTHER CLARIFICATION OF FONOFF PLANS.

7. IN PURELY DOMESTIC TERMS, NARITA'S PERFORMANCE MUST BE VIEWED IN CONTEXT OF EARLIER--THIS WAS SIXTH--JSP VISITS TO PEKING. 1959 VISIT, FOR EXAMPLE, PRODUCED FAMOUR ASANUMA STATEMENT THAT US IMPERIALISM IS COMMON ENEMY OF CHINA AND JAPAN AND NARITA'S OWN MOST RECENT (1970) COMMUNIQUE LISTED US IMPERIALISM, SOVIET REVISION-ISM, JCP REVISIONISM, AND REACTIONARY SATO GOVERNMENT AS COMMON ENEMIES. NARITA'S POSITION IN PARTY IS THUS NOT LIKELY TO SUFFER FROM HIS UPPINENESS IN PEKING. HODGSON

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 26 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: AGREEMENTS, COMMUNIQUES, GOODWILL VISITS, POLITICAL PARTIES, NEGOTIATIONS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 13 MAY 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ShawDG
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975TOKY006321

Document Number: 1975TOKYO06321 Document Source: ADS Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: 11652 GDS

Errors: n/a Film Number: D750168-0047

From: TOKYO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197505100/baaaafjk.tel Line Count: 116 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EA

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: ShawDG

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 18 JUN 2003

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <18 JUN 2003 by izenbei0>; APPROVED <21 OCT 2003 by ShawDG>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE Subject: n/a

TAGS: PINT, PFOR, JA, CH, UR
TO: STATE INFO MOSCOW

SEOUL TAIPEI HONG KONG **PEKING** Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006